



The EMC effect within the light-front Hamiltonian dynamics for few-nucleon bound systems

Filippo Fornetti (Università degli Studi di Perugia, INFN, Sezione di Perugia) Eleonora Proietti (Università di Pisa and INFN, Sezione di Pisa) Emanuele Pace (Università di Roma "Tor Vergata") Matteo Rinaldi (INFN, Sezione di Perugia) Giovanni Salmè (INFN, Sezione di Roma) Sergio Scopetta (Università degli Studi di Perugia, INFN, Sezione di Perugia) Michele Viviani (INFN, Sezione di Pisa)







F.F., E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè, S.Scopetta and M.Viviani, "The EMC effect for few-nucleon bound systems in Light-Front Hamiltonian Dynamics", *Phys.Lett.B* 851 (2024) 138587

E.Proietti, F.F, E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta, "3He spin-dependent structure functions within the relativistic light-front Hamiltonian dynamics", *Phys.Rev.C* 110 (2024) 3, L031303

E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta "The European Muon Collaboration effect in Light-Front Hamiltonian Dynamics", Phys. Lett. B 839(2023) 127810

E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta "EMC effect, few-nucleon system and Poincaré covariance", Phys. Scr. 95, 064008 (2020)

R.Alessandro, A.Del Dotto, E.Pace, G.Perna, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta "Light-Front Transverse Momentum Distributions for J = 1/2 Hadronic Systems in Valence Approximation", Phys.Rev.C 104(2021) 6,065204

A. Del Dotto, E.Pace, G. Salmè and S.Scopetta "Light-Front spin-dependent Spectral Function and Nucleon Momentum Distributions for a Three-Body system", Phys. Rev. C 95,014001 (2017)

Based on



•Overview

•The EMC effect

•The Light-Front Poincaré covariant approach

Nuclear structure functions with Relativistic Hamiltonian Dynamics

Numerical results for the EMC effect

•Numerical results for the ${}^{3}He$ SSFs

Conclusions

Outline



- Developed a new and rigorous light-front formalism for the unpolarized Deep Inelastic predicted [1]
- The formalism is extended to any nucleus and applied to the ${}^{4}He$ and ${}^{3}H$ [2]
 - ⁴*He* is a tightly bound nucleus \Rightarrow **Challenging** test to our approach
- The formalism is generalized for the **polarized DIS** [3] for the ${}^{3}He$
 - ${}^{3}He$ can be considered as an effective polarized neutron target \Rightarrow Extraction of the **neutron spin structure** is possible only through nuclear data
 - Experiments involving polarized beams of ${}^{3}He$ planned at future facilities such as EICs. Proposal for positron beams at JLab [A.Accardi et al., Eur.Phys.J.A 57 (2021) 8, 261]

[1] E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta, Phys. Lett. B 839 (2023) 127810 [2] F.F, E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè, S.Scopetta and M.Viviani, *Phys.Lett.B* 851 (2024) 138587 [3] E.Proietti, F.F, E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta, *Phys.Rev.C* 110 (2024) 3, L031303

Overview

Scattering (DIS) and applied to the ${}^{3}He$: sizable European Muon Collaboration (EMC) effect



 $R(x) = F_2^{5^6 Fe}(x) / F_2^{2^H}(x)$

Expected result: R(x) = 1

Result:

Aubert et al. Phys.Lett. B123 (1983) 275

Naive parton model interpretation:

"Valence quarks, in the bound nucleon, are in average slower that in the free nucleon"

Is the bound proton bigger than the free one??

More than 40 years ago, the European Muon Collaboration (EMC) measured (in DIS processes)





The EMC effect





Scales with the density $\rho \rightarrow \text{global property}$? Or due to SRC \rightarrow local property?

quarks in bags with 6, 9,..., 3A





Status of "conventional" calculations for light nuclei:

NR Calculations: qualitative agreement but no fulfillment of both **particle and momentum sum** rules... Not under control

Our approach is aimed to include only nucleonic dof through conventional nuclear physics in a Poincaré-covariant approach that preserves the macroscopic locality. The only way to fulfill sum rules while using realistic NR nuclear potentials is to embed relativistic effects

The lack of the **Poincare covariance** and macroscopic locality generates biases for the study of genuine QCD effects (nucleon swelling, exotic quark configurations ...). We provide a reliable baseline for the calculation of the nuclear SFs where only the well known nuclear part is considered

The EMC effect







Why do we need a relativistic treatment?

General answer: to develop an advanced scheme, appropriate for the kinematics of JLAB12 and EIC

- ³H Spectral Functions *)
- high precision measurements

Our definitely preferred framework for embedding the successful NR phenomenology:

Light-front Relativistic Hamiltonian Dynamics (LFRHD, fixed dof) + Bakamjian-Thomas (BT) construction of the Poincaré generators for an interacting theory.

* Kievsky, Pace, Salmè and Viviani PRC 56, 64 (1997)

The Standard Model of Few-Nucleon Systems, with nucleon and meson degrees of freedom within a non relativistic (NR) framework, has achieved high sophistication (e.g. the NR ³He and

Covariance wrt the **Poincaré Group**, needed for nucleons at **large 4-momenta** and pointing to





The relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics framework

In **RHD+BT**, one can address both Poincaré **covariance** and **macroscopic locality**, general principles to be implemented in presence of interaction:

Poincaré covariance \rightarrow The 10 generators, $P^{\mu} \rightarrow 4D$ displacements and $M^{\nu\mu} \rightarrow$ Lorentz transformation, have to fulfill:

$$[P^{\mu}, P^{\nu}] = 0; [M^{\mu\nu}, P^{\rho}] =$$

Macroscopic locality (= cluster separability (relevant in nuclear physics)): i.e. observables associated to different space-time regions must commute in the limit of large space like separation (i.e. causally disconnected). In this way, when a system is separated into disjoint subsystems by a sufficiently large space like separation, then the subsystems behave as independent systems*

This requires a careful choice of the intrinsic relativistic coordinates

*B.D.Keister and W.N.Polyzou, Adv.Nucl.Phys. 20 (1991), 225-479

 $= -i(g^{\mu\rho}P^{\nu} - g^{\nu\rho}P^{\mu})$ $[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = -i(g^{\mu\rho}M^{\nu\sigma} + g^{\nu\sigma}M^{\mu\rho} - g^{\mu\sigma}M^{\nu\rho} - g^{\nu\sigma}M^{\mu\sigma})$





Advantages of the Light-Front framework

- 7 Kinematical generators: i) 3 LF boosts (in instant form they are dynamical!); ii) $\tilde{P} = (P^+ = P^0 + P^3, \mathbf{P}_\perp)$; iii) Rotation around the z-axis
- the NR case
- $P^+ \ge 0 \rightarrow$ meaningful Fock expansion, once massless constituents are absent
- The infinite-monentum frame (IMF) description of DIS is easily included

Drawback: the transverse LF-rotations are dynamical!

But within the Bakamjian-Thomas (BT) construction of the generators in an interacting theory, one can construct an intrinsic angular momentum fully kinematical

The Light-Front framework has several advantages:

• The LF boosts have a subgroup structure: trivial separation of intrinsic and global motion, as in





Bakamjian and Thomas (PR 92 (1953) 1300) proposed an explicit construction of 10 Poincaré generators in presence of **interactions**. The key ingredient is the **mass operator**:



The mass operator is given by the sum of M_0 with an interaction V: $M_0 + V$. The interaction V must commute with all the kinematical generators and with the non-interacting angular momentum, as in the NR case

Light-Cone coordinates: $a = (a^{-}, \tilde{a}), a^{\pm} = a^{0} \pm a^{0}$

The Bakamjian-Thomas construction

ii) It generates the dependence of the 3 dynamical generators (P^- and LF transverse rotations) upon

$$a^z, \tilde{a} = (a^+, \mathbf{a}_\perp)$$









11

For a generic nucleus A, the mass operator is $M_{BT}[1,2,3,...,A] = M_0[1,2,3,...,A] + V(\mathbf{k}^2; \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_i; \mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}_i)$

The commutation rules impose to V invariance for translations and rotations as well as independence on the total momentum, as it occurs for V^{NR}

One can assume $M_{BT}[1,2,\ldots,A] \sim M^{NR}$

Therefore what has been learned till now about the nuclear interaction, within a non-relativistic framework, can be re-used in a Poincaré covariant framework.

The BT construction for a nuclear system





12

Reference frames

For a correct description of the structure functions, so that the macro-locality is implemented, it is crucial to distinguish between **different frames**, moving with respect to each other:

- The Lab frame, where $\tilde{P} = (M_{BT}, \mathbf{0}_{\parallel})$
- The intrinsic LF frame of the whole system,

 $k_i^+ = \xi_i M_0[1, 2, \dots, A]$ and $M_0[1, 2, \dots, A] =$

• The intrinsic LF frame of the cluster [1; 2,3,. $P = (\mathcal{M}_0[1; 2, 3, ..., A - 1]), \mathbf{0}_1)$ with $k^+ = \xi \mathscr{M}_0[1; 2, 3, ..., A - 1]$ and $\mathscr{M}_0[1; 2, 3, ..., A - 1]$

While
$$\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^{LAB} = \mathbf{k}_{1\perp} = \kappa_{\perp}$$

1,2,...,*A*], where
$$\tilde{P} = (M_0[1,2,...,A], \mathbf{0}_{\perp})$$
 with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{A} \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{k}_i^2}$$
..., $(A - 1)$] where
..., $A - 1$] = $\sqrt{m^2 + \kappa^2} + \sqrt{M_s^2 + \kappa^2}$
 $M_s = (A - 1)m + \epsilon$ is the mass of the fully
interacting spectator system





Since we use an impulse approximation assumption, we have to define the spin-dependent LF spectral function $P_{\sigma'\sigma}^{\tau}(\tilde{\kappa}, \epsilon, \mathbf{S}, M)$

$$P_{\sigma'\sigma}^{N}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,\mathbf{S},M) = \sum_{JJ_{z}} \sum_{TT_{z}} \rho(\epsilon)_{LF} < tT; \alpha,\epsilon; JJ_{z}; \tau\sigma', \tilde{\kappa} \mid \mathbf{S}$$

 $tT; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_{\tau}; \tau\sigma', \tilde{\kappa} >_{LF}$ is the **tensor product** of the plane wave of the struck nucleon [1] and the state of the fully interacting spectator system [2, ..., A - 1] in the intrinsic reference frame of the cluster [1; 2, 3, ..., A - 1] when the spectator system has energy ϵ . It fulfills the macrolocality*

 $|\Psi_{JM}; \mathbf{S}, T_A T_{Az} >_{LF}$ is the **eigenstate** of $M_{BT}[1, \dots, A] \sim M^{NR}$ in the **intrinsic frame** of the system $[1, 2, \dots, A]$

the intrinsic frames [1; 2, 3, ..., A - 1] and [1, 2, ..., A], connected each other by a LF boost

*B.D.Keister and W.N.Polyzou, Adv.Nucl.Phys. 20 (1991), 225-479

 $\Psi_{IM}; \mathbf{S}, T_A T_{A_7} > \langle \Psi_{IM}; \mathbf{S}, T_A T_{A_7} | _{LF} tT; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_7; \tau\sigma, \tilde{\kappa} \rangle_{LF}$

The LF spectral function contains the determinant of the Jacobian of the transformation between



We can express the LF overlap in terms of the IF overlap using Melosh rotations:

 $< tT; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_{7}; \tau\sigma', \tilde{\kappa} | \Psi_{JM}; \mathbf{S}, T_{A}T_{A7} >_{LF} \rightarrow < tT; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_{7}; \tau\sigma'_{C}, \kappa | \Psi_{JM}; \mathbf{S}, T_{A}T_{A7} >_{IF}$

Then we can approximate the IF overlap into a NR overlap by using the NR wave function for the nucleus, thanks to the **BT construction**:

 $< tT; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_{7}; \tau\sigma_{c}, \kappa | \Psi_{IM}; \mathbf{S}, T_{A}T_{A7} >_{IF} \sim < tT$

Poincarè covariance preserved but using the successful NR phenomenology

We used wave functions of ${}^{2}H, {}^{3}H, {}^{3}He, {}^{4}He$ calculated through 3 different potentials: Av18+UIX* and 2 versions of the Norfolk χEFT interactions NVIa+3N** and NVIb+3N**

*R. B. Wiringa, V. G. J. Stoks, R. Schiavilla, Phys. Rev. C 51 (1995) 38–51; R. B. Wiringa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 4396–4399

B. Wiringa, S. Brusilow, R. Lim, Phys. Rev. C 107 (1) (2023) 014314

$$T; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_{z}; \tau\sigma_{c}, \kappa | \Psi_{JM}; \mathbf{S}, T_{A}T_{Az} >_{NR}$$

- **M.Viviani et al., Phys. Rev. C 107 (1) (2023) 014314; M. Piarulli et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (5) (2018) 052503; M. Piarulli, S. Pastore, R.





Hadronic tensor

In our approach the symmetric part of the hadronic tensor is found to be *

* E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S. Scopetta, Phys. Scr. 95, 064008 (2020)



16

LC momentum distribution

$$F_2^A(x) = -\frac{1}{2} x g_{\mu\nu} W_A^{s,\mu\nu} = \sum_N \sum_\sigma \int d\epsilon \int \frac{d\kappa_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\kappa^+}{2\kappa^+} P^N(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon) F_2^N(z)$$

(LCMD) instead of the LF spectral function *

$$\mathbf{LCMD}: f_1^N(\xi) = \sum d\epsilon \int \frac{d\kappa_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\kappa^+} P^N(\tilde{\kappa}, \epsilon) \frac{E_s}{1-\xi} = \int d\mathbf{k}_{\perp} n^n(\xi, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$$



* A. Del Dotto, E.Pace, G. Salmè and S.Scopetta, Phys. Rev. C 95,014001 (2017)

In the Bjorken limit $\int d\epsilon \int d\kappa^+ = \int d\kappa^+ \int d\epsilon$ so we can use the **light-cone momentum distribution**



LC momentum distribution

$$\mathsf{LCMD}: f_1^N(\xi) = \oint d\epsilon \int \frac{d\kappa_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\kappa^+} P^N(\tilde{\kappa}, \epsilon) \frac{E_s}{1-\xi} = \int d\mathbf{k}_{\perp} n^n(\xi, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$$

Since our approach fulfill both macro-locality and Poincaré covariance the LC momentum distribution must satisfies **2** essential sum rules:

$$A = \int_{0}^{1} d\xi [Zf_{1}^{p}(\xi) + (A - Z)f^{n}(\xi)]: \text{Baryon num}$$

$$1 = Z < \xi >_{p} + (Z - N) < \xi >_{n}; < \xi >_{N} = \int_{0}^{1} d\xi [Zf_{1}^{p}(\xi) + (A - Z)f^{n}(\xi)]: \text{Baryon num}$$

Within the LFHD we are able to fulfill **both sum rules at the same time!**

Not possible with **IF approach** (Frankfurt & Strikman; Miller;....80's)

```
nber SR;
```

```
d\xi \xi f_1^N(\xi): Momentum SR (MSR)
0
```



LC momentum distribution: numerical results for ${}^{4}He$



LC momentum distribution for ${}^{4}He$ (peaked at 0.25) and deuteron (peaked at 0.5)

Solid lines: Av18/UIX. Dashed lines: NVIb+3N. Dot-dashed lines: NVIa+3N

- The tails of the distributions are generated by the short range correlations (SRC) induced by the potentials (i.e the high-momentum content of the 1-body momentum distribution)
- The tails of the LC momentum distribution calculated by the Av18/UIX potential is larger than the ones obtained by the χEFT potentials for both ^{4}He and deuteron
- This difference will partially cancel out on the **EMC** ratio



Convolution formula for the nuclear structure function

To calculate the EMC ratio $R^A_{EMC}(x) = \frac{F^A_2(x)}{F^d_2(x)}$ for any nucleus A, we need a NR realistic wave function and a parametrization for the free-nucleon structure functions



be only extracted by nuclear DIS data

We used a parametrization for the ratio $\frac{F_2^n}{F_2^p}$ extracted by **MARATHON data*** in Ref. [1]

*MARATHON Coll., Phys. Rev. Lett 128 (2022) 13,132003

[1] E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S. Scopetta, Phys. Scr. 95, 064008 (2020)









The EMC effect: results for ³*He*



Small but **solid** effect, comparable to the experimental data

E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta, Phys. Lett. B 839(2023) 127810



The EMC effect: results for⁴*He*



The dependence on the choice of the free nucleon SFs is largely under control in the properly **EMC** region

F.F., E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè, S.Scopetta and M.Viviani, *Phys.Lett.B* 851 (2024) 138587

Both lines calculated with Av18/UIX **Solid line: SMC parametrization** of $F_2^p *$ **Dashed line: CJ15 +TMC Parametrization of** $F_2^{p_{**}}$

 F_2^n extracted from MARATHON data

*[B. Adeva, et al., Phys. Lett. B 412 (1997) 414–424.]

**[A. Accardi, L. T. Brady, W. Melnitchouk, J. F. Owens, N. Sato, Phys. Rev. D 93 (11) (2016) 114017]







The EMC effect: results for⁴*He*

F.F, E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè, S.Scopetta and M.Viviani, Phys.Lett.B 851 (2024) 138587



The differences between the calculations from different potentials are of the same order for both nuclei. Definitely smaller than the difference between data and theoretical prediction

Full squares: JLab data from experiment E03103





Hadronic tensor II

For the **polarized DIS** we need to calculate the **antysimmetric** part of the **hadronic tensor**:

$$W_{A}^{a,\mu\nu} = \sum_{N} \sum_{\sigma} \oint d\epsilon \int \frac{d\kappa d\kappa^{+}}{2(2\pi)^{3}\kappa^{+}} \frac{1}{\xi} P_{\sigma}^{N}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,\mathbf{S})$$

 $W^{a,\mu\nu}_{A}$ is parametrized by the the spin-dependent

As for the unpolarized case, in the **Bjorken limit** we can write a **convolution formula** for the **SSFs**:

$$g_{j}^{A}(x) = \sum_{N} \int_{\xi_{m}}^{1} d\xi \left[g_{1}^{N}(z) l_{j}^{N}(\xi) + g_{2}^{N}(z) h_{j}^{N}(\xi) \right], j$$



Spin-dependent LF spectral function

It SFs (SSFs)
$$g_1^A(x, Q^2)$$
 and $g_2^A(x, Q^2)$





Spin-dependent SFs

$$g_{j}^{A}(x) = \sum_{N} \int_{\xi_{m}}^{1} d\xi \left[g_{1}^{N}(z) l_{j}^{N}(\xi) + g_{2}^{N}(z) h_{j}^{N}(\xi) \right],$$

The spin-dependent LCMD $l_j^N(\xi)$ and $h_j^N(\xi)$ are related to the **transverse momentum-dependent distributions (TMDs)** of the nucleons Δf^N , g_{1T}^N , $\Delta'_T f^N$, h_{1L}^N , h_{1T}^N We used the **TMDs** for ${}^{3}He$ calculated with the **Av18** potential in Ref. [1]

GRSV parametrization [2] for the $g_1^N(x)$ SSF

 $g_2^N(x)$ extracted by $g_1^N(x)$ with the Wandzura-Wilczek formula [3]:

$$g_2^N(x) = -g_1^N(x) + \int_x^1 dy \frac{g_1^N(y)}{y}$$

[1] R.Alessandro, A.Del Dotto, E.Pace, G.Perna, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta, Phys.Rev.C 104(2021) 6,065204 [2] M. Glück, E. Reya, M. Stratmann, and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 63, 094005 (2001) [3] S. Wandzura and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. B 72, 195 (1977)





25

E.Proietti, F.F, E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta, Phys.Rev.C 110 (2024) 3, L031303



Experimental data from [1] (crosses), [2] (squares) and [3] (triangles) [1] P. L. Anthony et al., Phys. Rev. D 54, 6620 (1996) [2] X. Zheng et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 012004 (2004) [3] D. Flay et al., **Phys. Rev. D 94, 052003 (2016)**

³*He* **SSFs**



$$g_{j}^{A}(x) = \sum_{N} \int_{\xi_{m}}^{1} d\xi \left[g_{1}^{N}(z) l_{j}^{N}(\xi) + g_{2}^{N}(z) h_{j}^{N}(\xi) \right]$$

extract the **neutron SSFs**:

$$g_{j}^{\bar{n}}(x) = \frac{1}{p_{j}^{n}} \left[g_{j}^{^{3}He}(x) - 2p_{j}^{p}g_{j}^{p}(x) \right]$$

Where the effective polarization p_i^N are integral of the TMDs $\Delta f(\xi, k_{\perp})$ and $\Delta'_T f(\xi, k_{\perp})^*$

We compared our extraction of the neutron SSFS with the one of the GRSV parametrization and with the **NR extraction**, obtained through the effective polarizations calculated from a NR spectral function*

* R.Alessandro, A.Del Dotto, E.Pace, G.Perna, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta, Phys.Rev.C 104(2021) 6,065204

One can **approximate** this equation using that $l_i^N(\xi), h_i^N(\xi)$ are **peaked** around $\xi \simeq 1/A$ and so

 $d\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\Delta_{T}^{\prime}f(\xi,k_{\perp})$





Neutron SSFs

E.Proietti, F.F., E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta, Phys.Rev.C 110 (2024) 3, L031303



Solide lines: GRSV parametrization of the free neutron SSFs **Dashed lines**: extraction of the free neutron SSFs from relativistic effective polarizations **Dotted line**: extraction of the free neutron SSFs from **non-relativistic** effective polarizations



- We proposed a **rigorous formalism** for the calculation of nuclear SFs and SSFs involving only **nucleonic DOF** with the conventional nuclear physics
- For ${}^{3}He$ we obtain results in **agreement** with experimental data for both EMC effect and SSFs. Useful analysis for planned experiments in future facilities
- For ${}^{4}He$ the deviations from experimental data lacksquarecould be ascribed to genuine QCD effects: our results provide a reliable baseline to study exotic phenomena
- In every case the **dependence** on the choice of the nuclear potential and the free nucleon SFs is largely under control

* A.Ceccopieri, F.F., N.Iles, E.Pace, M.Rinaldi and G. Salmè, in preparation

Conclusions

To do next:

- Include off-shell corrections to our calculations
- Calculate the EMC effect for heavier nuclei. We are working on ${}^{6}Li$

In preparation:

With the same approach we are developing a new formalism for the calculation of the nuclear Double Parton Distributions (DPDs) for light nuclei*







Tritium EMC effect



Filippo Fornetti

Results similar to ${}^{3}He$ and ${}^{4}He$

No experimental data

Solid line: Av18/UIX; Dashed-line: NVIb/UIX



MARATHON coll. : experimental data of the super-ratio $R^{ht}(x) = F_2^{^{3}He}(x)/F_2^{^{3}H}(x)$

 ${}^{3}He: 2p + n; {}^{3}H: n + 2p$

Is possible to extract the ratio $F_2^n(x)/F_2^p(x)$ through the super-ratio



Filippo Fornetti

E.Pace, M.Rinaldi, G.Salmè and S.Scopetta Phys. Lett. B 839(2023) 127810

Dashed line: ratio from SMC collaboration Empty squares: MARATHON extraction Solid line: cubic and conic extractions from F_2^p SMC parametrization, fitted to **MARATHON** data

Light-Cone 2023: Hadrons and Symmetries





- (CG) coefficients. In this form the three rotation generators are independent of the the interaction.
- relate the LF spin wave function and the canonical one. For a particle of spin (1/2) with LF momentum

$$|\mathbf{k}; \frac{1}{2}, \sigma \rangle_{c} = \sum_{\sigma'} \underbrace{D_{\sigma',\sigma}^{1/2}(R_{M}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}))}_{\sigma',\sigma} |\tilde{\mathbf{k}}; \frac{1}{2}, \sigma' \rangle_{LF}$$

 $R_M(\tilde{k})$ is the Melosh rotation connecting the intrinsic LF and canonical frames, reached through different boosts from a given frame where the particle is moving $D^{1/2}[R$

$$R_{M}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})]_{\sigma'\sigma} = \chi_{\sigma'}^{\dagger} \frac{m + k^{+} - \imath \sigma \cdot (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{k}_{\perp})}{\sqrt{(m + k^{+})^{2} + |\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|^{2}}} \chi_{\sigma} = {}_{LF} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{k}}; s\sigma' | \mathbf{k}; s\sigma \rangle_{c}$$

$$\downarrow two-dimensional spinor$$

N.B. If $|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}| << k^+, m \longrightarrow D_{\sigma'\sigma} \simeq I_{\sigma'\sigma}$

Canonical and LF spin

In Instant form (initial hyperplane t=0), one can couple spins and orbital angular momenta via Clebsch-Gordan

 $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \{k^+, \vec{k}_\perp\}$

To embed the CG machinery in the LFHD one needs unitary operators, the so-called Melosh rotations that

Wigner rotation for the J=1/2 case



LF spectral function and LC Correlator

1 (2002)] isospin

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{S}) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi^{-} d\xi$$

The particle contribution to the correlator in valence approximation, i.e. the result obtained if the antifermion contributions are disregarded, is related to the LF SF:

$$\Phi^{\tau p}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{S}) = \frac{(\not p_{on} + m)}{2m} \Phi^{\tau}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{S}) \frac{(\not p_{on} + m)}{2m} = \frac{2\pi (P^{+})^{2}}{(p^{+})^{2} 4m} \frac{E_{S}}{\mathcal{M}_{0}[1,(23)]} \sum_{\sigma \sigma'} \left\{ u_{\alpha}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}},\sigma') \mathcal{P}^{\tau}_{\mathcal{M},\sigma'\sigma}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\kappa}},\epsilon,\boldsymbol{S}) \bar{u}_{\beta}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}},\sigma) \right\}$$

In deriving this expression it naturally appears the momentum $\tilde{\kappa}$ in the intrinsic reference frame of the cluster [1,(23)], where particle 1 is free and the (23) pair is fully interacting.

The fermion correlator in terms of the LF coordinates is [e.g., Barone, Drago, Ratcliffe, Phys. Rep. 359,

parent system

(nucleus, nucleon..)





TMDs and LF spectral function



$$f(x, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^{2}) = b_{1,\mathcal{M}} + \frac{1}{2} b_{2,\mathcal{M}} \qquad h_{1L}^{\perp}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{M}{|\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|} b_{3,\mathcal{M}} \qquad h_{1T}^{\perp}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{M^{2}}{|\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}} b_{2,\mathcal{M}}$$
The integration $\int \frac{dp^{+}dp^{-}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \delta[p^{+} - xP^{+}] P^{+}$ of Tr of SF



TMDs and ³He LF spectral function



Viviani et al.)

- Proton
- u_v, u_v, d_v
- SIDIS
- no q_v detection, fragmentation...
- leading twist
- TMDs
- PDFs
- charges (axial, tensor...)
- the ³He TMDs could be obtained from spin asymmetries in ³ $\vec{He}(\vec{e}, e'p)$ experiments:
- Second Second
 - Thus testing LFRHD and of the importance of Relativity in nuclear structure.







³He TMDs





³He TMDs

Absolute value of the nucleon longitudinal-polarization distribution, $g_{1T}^{\tau}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^2)$, in a transversely polarized ³He.

Absolute value of the nucleon transverse-polarization distribution, $h_{1L}^{\perp \tau}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^2)$ in a longitudinally polarized ³He.





LC momentum distributions



E. Pace, M.R., G. Salmè and S. Scopetta, ArXiv:2206.05485



LC momentum distributions



 $g_1^n(\xi)$ longitudinal-polarization distribution

 $h_1^n(\xi)$ transverse-polarization distribution

- They would be the same in a NR framework;
- Crucial for the extraction of the neutron information from DIS and SIDIS off ³He. Work in progress to LF update our NR results \rightarrow important for JLab12, EIC



E. Pace, M.R., G. Salmè and S. Scopetta, ArXiv:2206.05485



Backup Slides: effective polarizations

Effective polarizations

Key role in the extraction of neutron polarized structure functions and neutron Collins and Sivers single spin asymmetries, from the corresponding quantities measured for ³He

Effective longitudinal polarization (axial charge for the nucleon)

$$p_{||}^{\tau} = \int_0^1 dx \int d\mathbf{p}_{\perp} \ \Delta f^{\tau}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^2)$$

Effective transverse polarization (tensor charge for the nucleon)

$$p_{\perp}^{\tau} = \int_0^1 dx \int d\mathbf{p}_{\perp} \, \Delta_T' f^{\tau}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^2)$$

Effective polarization: LF longitudinal polariza LF transverse polarizat non relativistic polarizat

- the Macro-locality, and not to the Melosh rotations involving the spins.
- N.B. Within a NR framework: $p_{\parallel}^{\tau}(NR) = p_{\perp}^{\tau}(NR)$



IS	proton	neutron
tion	-0.02299	0.87261
ion	-0.02446	0.87314
tion	-0.02118	0.89337

• The difference between the LF polarizations and the non relativistic results are up to 2% in the neutron case (larger for the proton ones, but it has an overall small contribution), and should be ascribed to the intrinsic coordinates, implementing



Backup Slides: effective polarizations

The BT Mass operator for A=3 nuclei - II The NR mass operator is written as

$$M^{NR} = 3m + \sum_{i=1,3} \frac{k_i^2}{2n}$$

and must obey to the commutation rules proper of the Galilean group, leading to translational invariance and independence of total 3-momentum. Those properties are analogous to the ones in the BT construction. This allows us to consider the standard non-relativistic mass operator as a sensible BT mass operator, and embed it in a Poincaré covariant approach.

 $M_{BT}(123) = M_0(123) + V_{12,3}^{BT} + V_{23,1}^{BT} + V_{31,2}^{BT} + V_{123}^{BT} \sim M^{NR}$

The 2-body phase-shifts contain the relativistic dynamics, and the Lippmann-Schwinger equation, like the Schrödinger one, has a suitable structure for the BT construction. Therefore what has been learned till now about the nuclear interaction, within a non-relativistic framework, can be re-used in a Poincaré covariant framework. The eigenfunctions of M^{NR} do not fulfill the cluster separability, but we take care of Macro-locality in the spectral function.

 $\frac{i}{m} + V_{12}^{NR} + V_{23}^{NR} + V_{31}^{NR} + V_{123}^{NR}$



LF spectral function decomposition

The LF spin-dependent spectral function (SF), for a nucleus with polarization S, can be macroscopically decomposed in terms of the available vectors:

1

- the unit vector \hat{n} , \perp to the hyperplane $n^{\mu}x_{\mu} = 0$. Our choice is $n^{\mu} \equiv \{1, 0, 0, 1\} \Rightarrow \hat{n} \equiv \hat{z}$
- the polarization vector S

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M},\sigma'\sigma}^{\tau}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,S) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{0,\mathcal{M}}^{\tau} + \sigma \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,S) \end{bmatrix}_{\sigma'\sigma}$$
unpolarized SF $\mathcal{B}_{0,\mathcal{M}}^{\tau} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M},\sigma'\sigma}^{\tau}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,S)\right]^{\prime}$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,S) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,S)\sigma\right] \text{ pseudovector}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp};\epsilon,S) = S\mathcal{B}_{1,\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\ldots) + \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} (\mathbf{S}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp})\mathcal{B}_{2,\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\ldots) + \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} (\mathbf{S}\cdot\hat{z})\mathcal{B}_{3,\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\ldots) + \hat{z} (\mathbf{S}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp})\mathcal{B}_{4,\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\ldots) + \hat{z} (\mathbf{S}\cdot\hat{z})\mathcal{B}_{5,\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\ldots)$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{\perp} = \kappa^{+}(1;23)/\mathcal{M}_{0}(1;23)$$
The scalar functions $\mathcal{B}_{\perp}^{\tau}(\omega)$ depend for $\mathcal{T} = 1/2$ on $|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}| = \mathbf{x} \cdot \epsilon$

• the transverse (wrt the \hat{z} axis) momentum component of the constituent, i.e. $k_{\perp}(123) = p_{\perp}(Lab) = \kappa_{\perp}(1;23)$

The scalar functions $\mathcal{P}_{i,\mathcal{M}}$ append, for $\mathcal{J} = 1/2$, on $|\mathbf{K}_{\perp}|, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{c}$





LF spectral function and momentum distribution

By integrating the LF SF on κ , equivalent to the integration on the ϵ = internal energy of the spectator system, one straightforwardly gets the LF spin-dependent momentum distribution

The decomposition is useful to get:

an explicit interplay between transverse momentum component and spin dofs



relations between Transverse-momentum distributions (TMDs) in the valence sector

