

Xiao Lin Li

Beijing Normal University

In collaboration with Xiaohui Liu, Feng Yuan, Hua Xing Zhu

Phys. Rev. D 108, L091502

LC 2024, Huizhou, China, Nov. 25



Outline

- 1. Motivation
 - concept of linearly polarized gluon
 - conventional probe using TMD-PDFs
- 2. Measurement of Nucleon Energy-Energy Correlator
- 3. Numerical result
- 4. Summary

Nucleon Structure



Major focus of EIC, EicC

 \checkmark Spin components

. . .

✓ Mass decomposition

Quark and gluon internal motion

Conventional approach to nucleon structure

extract Transverse Momentum Dependent (TMD)-PDFs



Conventional approach to nucleon structure

Gluon TMD-PDFs



Conventional approach to nucleon structure

conventional probe of the linearly polarized gluon is to study the dijet production in DIS process



 $\frac{d\sigma}{dPS} = A f_1^g(x, p_T^2) + B h_1^{\perp g}(x, p_T^2) \cos(2\phi)$

➤ experimentally, by looking for cos(2φ) signal, we can extract gluon PDF

 However, at high orders, the naïve factorization break down



 \checkmark This gives arise to the eikonal factor

[Y. Hatta, et al. PRD, 104,054037 (2021)]

$$\frac{1}{P_J \cdot kP \cdot k} \propto \Sigma_n c_n \cos n\phi$$

 \checkmark Contaminate the signal

X. Liu and H.X. Zhu PRL,130, 091901 (2023)



see xiao hui's talk on Fri.

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{EC}(z,\theta) = \int \frac{dy^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-izP^{+}y^{-}} \left\langle P \left| \bar{\psi}(y^{-}) \frac{\gamma^{+}}{2} \hat{\varepsilon}(\theta) \psi(0) \right| P \right\rangle$$
$$\hat{\varepsilon}(\theta) |X\rangle = \sum_{i \in X} \left\langle \frac{E_{i}}{E_{P}} \delta(\theta_{I} - \theta) |X\rangle$$

Energy correlator in the forward region
 weighted by E_i, insensitive to soft radiations ,e.g. no Sudakov suppression, which is very different from TMD



When
$$\theta Q \ll Q$$
, DIS type factorization

$$\sum_{N} (Q^{2}, \theta)$$

$$= \int u^{N-1} \hat{\sigma}(u, Q^{2}, \mu) f_{EEC}\left(N, \ln \frac{\theta Q}{u\mu}\right)$$

Derived by SCET
 H.T. Cao, X.H. Liu and H.X. Zhu, PRD, 107,114008(2023)

 Rigorous QCD derivation by relating to the fracture fuction through sum rules
 K.B. Chen, J.P. Ma and X.B. Tong, JHEP, 2406.08559(2024)

H.T. Cao, H.T. Li et al. PRD,109, 096004 (2024)

-2

 $y=ln(tan[\theta/2])$

0

_4



 10^{-3}

-6

• Not that forward in TFR region, $y \leq 2.5$

9

2



- the measurement in the Breit frame
- the azimuthal angle ϕ between detectors a and b
- extract linearly polarized PDFs, demand one of the angle to be very small

➢ NEEC factorization



 $\succ \theta_a \ll \theta_b$, the cross section $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

$$= \frac{y^2}{16\pi Q^2} \int d\Phi_X H_q f_{q,EEC}(x, \overrightarrow{n_a})$$

$$+ H_{g,\alpha\beta} f_{g,EEC}^{\alpha\beta}(x, \overrightarrow{n_a})$$

$$\bullet$$
measure the energy flow along the detector b

$\blacktriangleright \text{ the gluon NEEC operator definition} \\ f_{g,EEC}^{\alpha\beta}(x,\overline{n_a}) = \int \frac{dy^-}{4\pi x P^+} e^{-ixP^+\frac{y^-}{2}} \langle P | \mathcal{F}^{+\alpha}(y^-)\hat{\varepsilon}(\overline{n_a})\mathcal{F}^{+\beta}(0) | P \rangle$

➤ the most general parametrization of the gluon NEEC

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{g},\boldsymbol{EEC}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}(\boldsymbol{x},\overline{\boldsymbol{n_a}}) = -g_T^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{g},\boldsymbol{EEC}} + \left(\frac{n_{a,T}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}n_{a,T}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{n_{a,T}^2} - \frac{g_T^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}}{2}\right)\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{g},\boldsymbol{EEC}}$$

✓
$$\mathcal{F}$$
: gauge field strength tensor
✓ as obvious from this decomposition
 $\epsilon_{\pm,\alpha}\epsilon_{\pm,\beta}^*f_{g,EEC}^{\alpha\beta} = f_{g,EEC}$
 $\epsilon_{\mp,\alpha}\epsilon_{\pm,\beta}^*f_{g,EEC}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}e^{\mp 2i\phi_a}d_{g,EEC}$

> hard coefficient can also be written in the similar form

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}} = -g_T^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}A(z,c_b) + \left(\frac{n_{b,T}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}n_{b,T}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{n_{b,T}^2} - \frac{g_T^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}}{2}\right)B(z,c_b) + \cdots$$

✓ No contribution.

when contract with the NEEC is vanish

 \succ take this form,

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{y^2}{16\pi Q^2} \int d\Phi_X H_q f_{q,EEC}(x,\overline{n_a}) + H_{g,\alpha\beta} f_{g,EEC}^{\alpha\beta}(x,\overline{n_a})$$

 \succ we can show that the only possibility to get $\cos(2\phi)$ through these tensor contraction

$$f_{g,EEC}^{\alpha\beta}(x,\overrightarrow{n_{a}}) = -g_{T}^{\alpha\beta}f_{g,EEC} + \left(\frac{n_{a,T}^{\alpha}n_{a,T}^{\beta}}{n_{a,T}^{2}} - \frac{g_{T}^{\alpha\beta}}{2}\right)d_{g,EEC} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text{Only about } \phi_{a}$$

$$H_{i,\alpha\beta} = -g_{T}^{\alpha\beta}A(z,c_{b}) + \left(\frac{n_{b,T}^{\alpha}n_{b,T}^{\beta}}{n_{b,T}^{2}} - \frac{g_{T}^{\alpha\beta}}{2}\right)B(z,c_{b}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text{Only about } \phi_{b}$$

 \succ To all orders, the cross section fulfills the general form

$$\Sigma(x_B, Q^2, \cos \theta_{a,b}, \phi)$$

$$\propto \int \frac{dz}{z} \left[\sum_{i=q,g} \widehat{H_i}(z, y, \theta_b) \frac{x_B}{z} f_{i,EEC} \left(\frac{x_B}{z}, \theta_a \right) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \widehat{H_g}(z, y, \theta_b) \frac{x_B}{z} d_{g,EEC} \left(\frac{x_B}{z}, \theta_a \right) \cos(2\phi) \right]$$

$$z \equiv \frac{x_B}{x}, \frac{x_B}{z} \text{ originated from } \frac{E_b}{E_P}$$

• Because of we do not have soft radiation, this $cos(2\phi)$ structure hold to all orders



will not spoil cos(2φ) signature
This is very different TMD

Numerical result

Here we validate our factorization theory of the all orders structure using perturbative calculation based on NLOJET++ fixed code at LO



Numerical result

> Non trivial verification starts with NLO

- ➤ we have additional radiations that can cross talk between initial state gluon and final state jet, that will potentially breaks the factorization just like the TMD
 - ✓ indicate cross talk is power suppressed in our method
- circle dots: NLOJET++ fixed code full calculation circle dots: NLOJET++ fixed code full calculation Normalized $\Sigma(\mathbf{x}, Q^2, \phi)$ Normalized $\Sigma(\mathbf{x}, Q^2, \phi)$ solid curve: fit (a+b) $cos(2\phi)$ solid curve: fit (a+b) $\cos(2\phi)$ 0.2 $\alpha = 1/_{128.0}$ $\alpha = \frac{1}{128} |_{0}$ $E_l = 18 GeV E_P = 275 GeV Q = 10 GeV x_B = 0.01$ $E_l = 18 GeV E_P = 275 GeV Q \neq 10 GeV x_R = 0.03$ $0.005 < \theta_a < 0.02$ $\theta_a \ll \theta_b < 1$ $1.0 < \theta_h < 1.5$ $0.1 < \theta_h < 0.3$ 0.10.12 0. -22. -1.0. 1. High non-16 trivial!!



 ✓ We also show the result into the squeezed limit, two detectors are both put in forward region

Summary

- We propose to study the linearly polarized gluons through the observation of helicity-dependent NEEC in the DIS process
- the $\cos 2\phi$ asymmetry is preserved by rotational symmetry and factorization
- hold to all orders, free of soft radiation contamination and free of Sudakov suppression
- looking ahead, we plan to present the evolution of the helicity-dependent NEEC, to make all order predictions for the azimuthal distribution



H.T. Cao, H.T. Li et al. PRD,109, 096004 (2024)



H.T. Cao, H.T. Li et al. PRD,109, 096004 (2024)



H.T. Cao, H.T. Li et al. PRD,109, 096004 (2024)

