

Studying astrophysical reactions with low-energy RI beams -- the projects at CRIB



Hidetoshi Yamaguchi 山口英斉 *Center for Nuclear Study (CNS), the University of Tokyo*

CRIB – Low energy RI beam separator of CNS

- CNS Radio-Isotope Beam separator, operated by CNS (Univ. of Tokyo), located at RIBF (RIKEN Nishina Center), in Japan.
 - Low-energy(<10MeV/u) RI beams by in-flight method.</p>
 - ◆ Primary beam from K=70 AVF cyclotron.
 - Momentum (Magnetic rigidity) separation by "double achromatic" system, and velocity separation by a Wien filter.





CRIB/OEDO in RIBF

RI-beam apparatuses operated by CNS, the University of Tokyo at RIBF

- CRIB: RI beam separator for low-mass, low-energy (<10 MeV/u) RI beams
- SHARAQ: high resolution spectrometer
- OEDO: new low-energy (20-50 MeV/u) beamline for exotic beams



Talk by Li Jiatai tomorrow



CRIB is collaborative

 Country/institutes that collaborated with us at CRIB (showing only core institutes of the proposed experiments):



Today's main topic: studying (α ,p) reactions on RI

- There are (α, p) reactions relevant in astrophysics.
- Some are from RI to RI (e.g., ¹⁴O(α,p)¹⁷O), posing a challenge in directly measuring their rates, due to the limitation of RI beam intensity (typically 10⁵ pps).
- Approaches:

1) Measure the cross section at high energy, and extrapolate it down to low energies...is the extrapolation reliable?

2) Study resonance parameters and evaluate the reaction rates with those...can we obtain all necessary information, incl. Γ_{α} ?

Key α-induced reactions in X-ray bursts

- The "rp-process" in X-ray bursts (T_{max} can be 2-3 GK) takes a path including (α, p) reactions to skip sequential (p, γ) and β decays... the αpprocess.
- Several key (α, γ) and (α, p) reactions, from an RI to another RI (i.e. difficult to study it experimentally) affect much to the X-ray light curve.



Our recent work on ${}^{22}Mg(\alpha, p)$: One application of the inverse reaction channel resonant scattering

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 127, 172701 (2021)

Advancement of Photospheric Radius Expansion and Clocked Type-I X-Ray Burst Models with the New ${}^{22}Mg(\alpha,p){}^{25}Al$ Reaction Rate Determined at the Gamow Energy

J. Hu (胡钧)^{1,2,*} H. Yamaguchi (山口英斉)^{3,4} Y. H. Lam (藍乙華)⁰,^{1,2,†} A. Heger⁰,^{5,6,7,8} D. Kahl⁰,^{9,10} A. M. Jacobs,^{8,11} Z. Johnston[®],^{8,11} S. W. Xu (许世伟),¹ N. T. Zhang (张宁涛),¹ S. B. Ma (马少波),¹ L. H. Ru (茹龙辉),¹ E. Q. Liu (刘恩强),¹ T. Liu (刘通)¹⁰, S. Hayakawa (早川勢也)¹⁰, L. Yang (杨磊)¹⁰, ^{3,‡} H. Shimizu (清水英樹), C. B. Hamill, ¹⁰ A. St J. Murphy, ¹⁰ J. Su (苏俊)¹² X. Fang (方晓),¹³ K. Y. Chae (채경육),¹⁴ M. S. Kwag (곽민식),¹⁴ S. M. Cha (차수미),¹⁴ N. N. Duy¹⁰,¹⁴,¹⁵ N. K. Uyen,¹⁴ D. H. Kim (김두현),¹⁴ R. G. Pizzone[®],¹⁶ M. La Cognata[®],¹⁶ S. Cherubini,¹⁶ S. Romano[®],^{16,17,18} A. Tumino,^{16,19} J. Liang,²⁰ A. Psaltis,²⁰ M. Sferrazza,²¹ D. Kim (김다히),²² Y. Y. Li (李依阳),^{1,2} and S. Kubono (久保野茂)^{3,23} ¹Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China ²School of Nuclear Science and Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China ³Center for Nuclear Study(CNS), the University of Tokyo, RIKEN campus, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan ⁴National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan ⁵School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia ⁶OzGrav-Monash—Monash Centre for Astrophysics, School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Vic 3800, Australia ⁷Center of Excellence for Astrophysics in Three Dimensions (ASTRO-3D), Australia ⁸The Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA ⁹Extreme Light Infrastructure - Nuclear Physics, IFIN-HH, 077125 Bucharest-Magurele, Romania ¹⁰SUPA, School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, United Kingdom ¹¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA









²²Mg(α,p) in Type I X-ray bursts



$$\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} ^{14}\mathrm{O}(\alpha,p)^{17}\mathrm{F}(p,\gamma)^{18}\mathrm{Ne}(\alpha,p)^{21}\mathrm{Na}(p,\gamma)^{22}\mathrm{Mg}(\alpha,p)^{25}\mathrm{Al} \\ (p,\gamma)^{26}\mathrm{Si}(\alpha,p)^{29}\mathrm{P}(p,\gamma)^{30}\mathrm{S}(\alpha,p)^{33}\mathrm{Cl}(p,\gamma)^{34}\mathrm{Ar}(\alpha,p) \\ ^{37}\mathrm{K}(p,\gamma)^{38}\mathrm{Ca}(\alpha,p)^{41}\mathrm{Sc} \end{split}$$



R. Cyburt et al.

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 830:55 (20pp), 2016 October 20

 Table 2

 Reactions that Impact the Burst Light Curve in the Multi-zone X-ray Burst Model

Rank	Reaction	Type ^a	Sensitivity ^b	Category
1	$^{15}\mathrm{O}(\alpha, \gamma)^{19}\mathrm{Ne}$	D	16	L.
2	⁵⁶ Ni(α , p) ⁵⁹ Cu	U	6.4	Ú La
3	$^{59}Cu(p, \gamma)^{60}Zn$	D	5.1	L L
4	61Ga(n v)62Ge	D	37	U
5	$^{22}Mg(\alpha, p)^{25}Al$	D	2.3	
ő	¹ O(a, p) ¹ F	Đ	5.8	-
7	23 Al(p, γ) 24 Si	D	4.6	L
8	¹⁸ Ne(α , p) ²¹ Na	U	1.8	Ì.
9	63 Ga(p, γ) 64 Ge	D	1.4	2
10	19 F(p, $\alpha)^{16}$ O	U	1.3	2
11	$^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma)^{16}O$	U	2.1	2
12	${}^{26}Si(\alpha, p){}^{29}P$	U	1.8	2
13	$^{17}F(\alpha, p)^{20}Ne$	U	3.5	2
14	$^{24}Mg(\alpha, \gamma)^{28}Si$	U	1.2	2
15	${}^{57}Cu(p, \gamma){}^{58}Zn$	D	1.3	2
16	60 Zn(α , p) 63 Ga	U	1.1	2
17	${}^{17}F(p, \gamma){}^{18}Ne$	Ũ	1.7	2
18	40 Sc(p, γ) ⁴¹ Ti	D	1.1	2
19	$^{48}Cr(p, \gamma)^{49}Mn$	D	1.2	2

Notes.

^a Up (U) or down (D) variation that has the largest impact. ^b $M_{LC}^{(i)}$ in units of 10^{38} erg s⁻¹. Status of ${}^{22}Mg(\alpha,p){}^{25}Al$ astrophysical reaction rate evaluation (as of 2018)



The ${}^{22}Mg(\alpha,p){}^{25}A1$ reaction rate as a function of the temperature for the Hauser-Feshbach predictions TALYS and non-SMOKER

Direct measurement of ${}^{22}Mg(\alpha,p)$ at MSU

Randhawa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett (2020): First direct measurement of ${}^{22}Mg(\alpha, p)$.

NSCL; ~5 MeV/u (broad?) ²²Mg beam, 900 cps. The reaction was measured with AT-TPC.

Data points only at energies corresponding to T > 2.6 GK (cf. most relevant *T* range they claim: below 1 GK).

Reaction rate evaluated by extrapolation down to the stellar energy with a statistical-model (NON-SMOKER) calculation. Reliable? PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 125, 202701 (2020)



FIG. 3. Panel (a) shows the experimental cross sections obtained in the present work over a range of center-of-mass energies covered (black). For all the points, the cross section weighted energy is shown, which is the reason why horizontal error bars for the two lowest energy points are asymmetric. Panel (b) shows the reaction rate comparison of the current work to different model predictions and to the previous measurement by Matic *et al.* [11].

²⁵Al RI beam at CRIB, (142 \pm 1) MeV, 2 x 10⁵ pps, 80% purity.

Resonances in ²⁶Si are scanned by proton resonant scattering of ²⁵Al+ p... exit channel of ²²Mg(α , p).

The spin parities of 5 states above the α threshold were determined for the first time ... reaction rate evaluated with parameters of those resonances (E, J^{π}, Γ_{p}) .

 Γ_{α} were not known from the measurement, and evaluated with the spectroscopic factor of the mirror ²⁶Al nucleus by Matic et al.



Center-of-mass energy (MeV)

Updated $^{22}Mg(\alpha,p)$ reaction rate

Red curve ...our new rate (resonant reaction rate) Blue curve... MSU work (extrapolation)

These two are not too much different for the X-ray burst temperature.

Our uncertainty is mostly smaller than MSU, even though the error associated with extrapolation with statistical model calculation is not included in their evaluation.



X-ray burst simulations

Light curves with a new XRB model (Dr. Lam Yi Hua) \rightarrow Improved reproducibility of the observational data. ²²Mg(α ,p) makes a significant effect.



FIG. 3. The best fit *baseline* and *Present* modeled lightcurves to the observed lightcurve of epoch Jun 1998, and the best fit Randhawa et al. [22] lightcurves to epoch Sep 2000. The magnified lightcurves at the burst peak and t=20-70 s are shown in the left and right insets, respectively.



FIG. 4. The bursts' fluences (integration of flux over time) and times for SAX J1808.4-3658 burster, based on the RXTE observation [4], Johnston *et al.* [8] and Goodwin *et al.* [9] models, and present calculations. Johnston *et al.* [8] model is adopted to study the present and Randhawa *et al.* rates.

Helium abundance in the accreting envelope seems to be essential

New publication

Jayatissa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. (2023).
 New direct measurement at ANL, Factor 3-4 enhanced rate?



The ²⁶Si(α,p)²⁹P reaction



Table 1 Reactions that Impact the Burst Light Curve in the Single-zone X-Ray Burst Model							
Rank	Reaction	Type ^a	Sensitivity ^b	Category			
1	56Ni(α, p)59Cu	U	12.5	1			
2	59Cu(p, γ)60Zn	D	12.1	1			
3	¹⁵ O(α, γ) ¹⁹ Ne	D	7.9	1			
4	${}^{30}S(\alpha, p){}^{33}Cl$	U	7.8	1			
5	²⁶ Si(α, p) ²⁹ P	U	5.3	1			
6	⁶¹ Ga(p, γ) ⁶² Ge	D	5.0	1			
7	²³ Al(p, γ) ²⁴ Si	U	4.8	1			
8	²⁷ P(p, γ) ²⁸ S	D	4.4	1			
	in the Multi-zone X-ray Burst Model						
Rank	Reaction	Type ^a	Sensitivity ^b	Category			
1	$^{15}O(\alpha, \gamma)^{19}Ne$	D	16	1			
2	⁵⁶ Ni(α, p) ⁵⁹ Cu	II	6.4				
3		0	0.4	1			
	59Cu(p, γ)60Zn	D	5.1	1			
4	${}^{59}Cu(p, \gamma){}^{60}Zn$ ${}^{61}Ga(p, \gamma){}^{62}Ge$	D	5.1 3.7	1 1			
4 5	59 Cu(p, γ) 60 Zn 61 Ga(p, γ) 62 Ge 22 Mg(α , p) 25 Al	D D D	5.1 3.7 2.3	1 1 1			
4 5 6	⁵⁹ Cu(p, γ) ⁶⁰ Zn ⁶¹ Ga(p, γ) ⁶² Ge ²² Mg(α, p) ²⁵ Al ¹⁴ O(α, p) ¹⁷ F	D D D D	5.1 3.7 2.3 5.8	1 1 1 1			
4 5 6 7	⁵⁹ Cu(p, γ) ⁶⁰ Zn ⁶¹ Ga(p, γ) ⁶² Ge ²² Mg(α , p) ²⁵ Al ¹⁴ O(α , p) ¹⁷ F ²³ Al(p, γ) ²⁴ Si	D D D D D	5.1 3.7 2.3 5.8 4.6	1 1 1 1 1			
4 5 6 7 8	⁵⁹ Cu(p, γ) ⁶⁰ Zn ⁶¹ Ga(p, γ) ⁶² Ge ²² Mg(α, p) ²⁵ Al ¹⁴ O(α, p) ¹⁷ F ²³ Al(p, γ) ²⁴ Si ¹⁸ Ne(α, p) ²¹ Na	D D D D U	5.1 3.7 2.3 5.8 4.6 1.8	1 1 1 1 1 1			
4 5 6 7 8 9	⁵⁹ Cu(p, γ) ⁶⁰ Zn ⁶¹ Ga(p, γ) ⁶² Ge ²² Mg(α , p) ²⁵ Al ¹⁴ O(α , p) ¹⁷ F ²³ Al(p, γ) ²⁴ Si ¹⁸ Ne(α , p) ²¹ Na ⁶³ Ga(p, γ) ⁶⁴ Ge	D D D D U D	5.1 3.7 2.3 5.8 4.6 1.8 1.4	1 1 1 1 1 1 2			
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	⁵⁹ Cu(p, γ) ⁶⁰ Zn ⁶¹ Ga(p, γ) ⁶² Ge ²² Mg(α, p) ²⁵ Al ¹⁴ O(α, p) ¹⁷ F ²³ Al(p, γ) ²⁴ Si ¹⁸ Ne(α, p) ²¹ Na ⁶³ Ga(p, γ) ⁶⁴ Ge ¹⁹ F(p, α) ¹⁶ O	D D D D U D U U	5.1 3.7 2.3 5.8 4.6 1.8 1.4 1.3	1 1 1 1 1 2 2			
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	⁵⁹ Cu(p, γ) ⁶⁰ Zn ⁶¹ Ga(p, γ) ⁶² Ge ²² Mg(α, p) ²⁵ Al ¹⁴ O(α, p) ¹⁷ F ²³ Al(p, γ) ²⁴ Si ¹⁸ Ne(α, p) ²¹ Na ⁶³ Ga(p, γ) ⁶⁴ Ge ¹⁹ F(p, α) ¹⁶ O ¹² C(α, γ) ¹⁶ O	D D D D U D U U U	5.1 3.7 2.3 5.8 4.6 1.8 1.4 1.3 2.1	1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2			
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	⁵⁹ Cu(p, γ) ⁶⁰ Zn ⁶¹ Ga(p, γ) ⁶² Ge ²² Mg(α, p) ²⁵ Al ¹⁴ O(α, p) ¹⁷ F ²³ Al(p, γ) ²⁴ Si ¹⁸ Ne(α, p) ²¹ Na ⁶³ Ga(p, γ) ⁶⁴ Ge ¹⁹ F(p, α) ¹⁶ O ¹² C(α, γ) ¹⁶ O ²⁶ Si(α, p) ²⁹ P	D D D D U U U U U	5.1 3.7 2.3 5.8 4.6 1.8 1.4 1.3 2.1 1.8	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2			
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	⁵⁹ Cu(p, γ) ⁶⁰ Zn ⁶¹ Ga(p, γ) ⁶² Ge ²² Mg(α, p) ²⁵ Al ¹⁴ O(α, p) ¹⁷ F ²³ Al(p, γ) ²⁴ Si ¹⁸ Ne(α, p) ²¹ Na ⁶³ Ga(p, γ) ⁶⁴ Ge ¹⁹ F(p, α) ¹⁶ O ¹² C(α, γ) ¹⁶ O ²⁶ Si(α, p) ²⁹ P ¹⁰ F(α, p) ²⁰ Ne	D D D D U U U U U	5.1 3.7 2.3 5.8 4.6 1.8 1.4 1.3 2.1 1.8 3.5	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2			

•R.H. Cyburt et al., Astrophys. J. 830, 55 (2016).

 The ²⁶Si(α,p)²⁹P reaction has a <u>high sensitivity on the light curve</u>



Collaboration/ beamtime

SKKU: K.Y. Chae, M.J. Kim, N.N. Duy, G.M. Gu, C.H. Kim, S.H. Kim, M.S. Kwag, N.K. Uyen,

CNS: S. Hayakawa, K. Okawa, N.R. Ma, H. Shimizu H. Yamaguchi, Q. Zhang, T. Chillery, S. Hanai, N. Imai, J. Li, S. Michimasa, R. Yokoyama,

IBS: S.M. Cha, D. Kim

Osaka: S. Adachi, T. Furuno, K. Sakanashi, T. Kawabata

ELI-NP: D. Kahl, O. Sirbu

RIKEN: S. Kubono

The first CRIB main experiment after COVID (Jan. 2022). Foreign collaborators could not come to Japan from due to COVID19 restriction (border closed again in Dec. 2021)

Domestic collaborators from Osaka U. and CNS

Online communication during the machine time (Zoom + Slack + YouTube live)

Graduate students working on analysis (M.J. Kim, K. Okawa)

→ Now their theses (Ph. D, Master) were completed, publications in preparation.





¹⁴O(α,p)¹⁷F Experiment, performed in 2023

Collaboration: IBS-CENS (Korea), Texas A&M (U.S.), CNS (Japan), SKKU (Korea), INFN (Italy) and others: 40 participants with 11 nationalities. ^{TexAT chamber}





¹⁴O(α,p)¹⁷F reaction

- One of the important (α , p) reactions in X-ray bursts
- Possible break-out path from the hot CNO cycle to the rapid proton burning (rp-process).
- Large uncertainty
 - Previous cross section measurements at high energy range (2 MeV ≤ E_{cm} ≤ 2.8 MeV) show large disagreements.
 - Data at low energy range (1 MeV ≤ E_{cm} ≤ 1.5 MeV) with large error bars have to be confirmed by another study.







Another topic at CRIB: a clusters and linear chain

 $^{14}C(^{10}Be+\alpha)$





Nice agreement between exp. and theory for the $(0^+, 2^+, 4^+)$ states.

¹⁴C: H. Yamaguchi et al., Phys. Lett. B (2017).
 ¹⁴O: N.R. Ma, M. Sferrazza et al, Phys Rev. C (2024).



Summary

- CRIB is an RI beam facility in RIBF operated by CNS, the University of Tokyo, providing low-energy (<10MeV/u) in-flight RI beams with high intensity and purity.
- Successful international collaborations mainly on nuclear astrophysics:
 - (α , p) reactions in high-temperature environments ²²Mg(α , p), ²⁶Si(α , p), ¹⁴O(α , p), ¹³N(α , p)
 - resonant scattering with TTIK.
 - direct measurement using a static or an active target.
 - Alpha cluster study ${}^{10}C+\alpha$, ${}^{26}Si+\alpha$
- Visit CRIB webpage for more information. http://www.cns.s.utokyo.ac.jp/crib/crib-new/