

Vertex reconstruction at STCF

Mingyu Yu Xingtao Huang Teng Li **Shandong University**

Workshop of Track Reconstruction in Particle Physics ExPerments, Huizhou, 7/23/2025

01

Motivation

03

Performance



Contents

Fitting Method

02

04 Summary





Motivation part one





lotivation

Fitting Method

performance

Summary

Motivation

2~7*Gev*

> Proposal of the Super Tau-Charm Facility (STCF)

- **BEPCII/BESIII** is expected to run for another decade Major advances in XYZ states, light-flavor exotics, and charm physics have brought Chinese
- particle physics to the forefront internationally.
- Further exploration of hadron structure and new physics beyond the SM

		291 cm 🔶
STCF	BesIII	
peak luminosity:	peak luminosity: $1 \times 10^{33} cm^{-2} c^{-1}$	185 cm
$0.5 \times 10^{35} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$	center-of-mass energy:	149 cm 🔶 🕨
$2 \sim 7 Gev$	2~4.6 <i>Gev</i>	105 cm

STCF Data Characteristics

High-statistics + High-background + High- \bullet precision

20 cm16 cm 11(9.8) cm





SuperTau-Charm Facility, STCF



lotivation



performance

Summary

Motivation

> The vertex fitting tool at STCF is adapted from BESIII

Event vertex reconstruction is a key component of STCF data analysis and a prerequisite for physics studies.

• VertexFit:

Vertex constraint requires all final-state tracks come from a common point in 3D space

- (1)Optimize track parameters ③Suppresses fake tracks in tracking

(1) optimizes intermediate particle parameters ②suppresses backgrounds







Limitations of VertexFit

- Each decay vertex is fitted separately
- Neutral particles are not fully utilized vertex fitting is not applicable to neutrals

(Long-Lived Particles) $\Sigma^+ \to P\gamma$

Decay Vertex: Not Available

Global Vertex Fitting in Belle II

Developed based on the Kalman filter

- KFit: Fits each decay vertex separately
- TreeFit:Performs global vertex fitting across the decay chain

Global vertex fitting is planned for STCF! ! !



Affects the four-momentum of neutral particles in kinematic fitting

(assumed to originate from the interaction point)





O D Fitting Method





Fitting Method

performance

Summary

Lagrange Multiplier Method

Vertex Fit & Global Vertex Fit — Core Objective To find the optimal set of physical parameters

Least Squares Method

Estimate parameters by minimizing the sum of squared differences between measured values and theoretical predictions.

$$\chi^{2} = (X - X_{0})^{T} V^{-1} (X - X_{0}) = min \qquad (X_{0})^{T} V^{-1} (X - X_{0})^{T} V^{-1} (X - X_{0}) = min \qquad (X_{0})^{T} V^{-1} (X - X_{0})^{T} V^{-1} (X - X_{0}) = min \qquad (X_{0})^{T} V^{-1} (X - X_{0})^{T} V^{-1} (X - X_$$

Lagrange Multiplier Method (Constrained Least Squares) Use Lagrange multipliers (λ) to convert a constrained least squares problem (n variables, m constraints) into an unconstrained one with (n + m) variables.

$$\chi^2 = (X - X_0)^T V_0^{-1} (X - X_0) + 2\lambda^T h(X) =$$

Cannot handle constrained cases

X - measured values

- theoretical predictions)

 $(V^{-1}: \text{Covariance Matrix of Parameters})$ min

(h(X) - constraint equations)



Fitting Method

performance

Summary

Lagrange Multiplier Method

> State vector solution

 $\chi^2 = (X - X_0)^T V_0^{-1} (X - X_0) + 2\lambda^T h(X) = min$

• Minimizing the Chi-Square Function:

$$\frac{d\chi^2}{dX} = 0, \qquad \frac{d\chi^2}{d\lambda} = 0, \qquad X_0 \quad \text{(initial points)}$$

Nonlinear constraints complicate the solution; Taylor expansion is applied to ulletlinearize them

• Solution of the state vector

$$X_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha-1} - V_0 H^T \lambda \quad ,$$

parameters) $\rightarrow X(\text{optimal solution})$

 $X_{\alpha-1}$), $H = -\frac{\partial h(X)}{\partial X}$ Iteration $X_{\alpha-1}$) + $2\lambda^T h(X_{\alpha}) = 0$ $\lambda = V_D h(X_\alpha)$ $V_D = (HV_0 H^T)^{-1}$



Fitting Method

performance

Summary

Lagrange Multiplier Method

Constraint equations for vertex fitting

Vertex constraint: All final-state charged tracks from the decay are constrained to pass through a common vertex in three-dimensional space.

 $\begin{bmatrix} r - \varphi \text{ Plane Constraint:} & p_{x_i} \Delta y_i - p_{y_i} \Delta x_i - \\ 3D \text{ Surface Constraint:} & \Delta z_i - \frac{p_{z_i}}{a_i} \sin^{-1} [a_i(p_x - \Delta x_i) - x_i] \\ (\Delta x_i = x_x - x_i) & \text{Decay Vertex} \end{bmatrix}$

(Shared among all charged tracks)

Constraint equations for second vertex fitting

• for neutral particle: • for charged particle: $\begin{cases}
x_p - x_d + \frac{p_x}{m}c\tau = 0; \\
y_p - y_d + \frac{p_y}{m}c\tau = 0; \\
z_p - z_d + \frac{p_z}{m}c\tau = 0;
\end{cases}$ • for charged particle: $\begin{cases}
x_p - x_d + \frac{p_x}{a}sin(ac\tau + \frac{p_y}{a}sin(ac\tau + \frac{p_y}{a}sin$

(Subscriptp:production vertex; Subscriptd:decay vertex)

$$-\frac{a_i}{2}(\Delta x_i^2 + \Delta y_i^2) = 0$$
(i:The i-th charged track)
 $x_i \Delta x_i + p_{y_i} \Delta y_i)/p_{T_i}^2] = 0$
tion)
Inserting into the χ^2 expression, a simultaneous fit is applied to all tracks.
Reconstruct the decay vertex and momentum of the intermediate particle

$$- x_{d} + \frac{p_{x}}{a} sin(ac\tau/m) + \frac{p_{y}}{a} (1 - cos(ac\tau/m)) = 0; - y_{d} + \frac{p_{y}}{a} sin(ac\tau/m) + \frac{p_{x}}{a} (1 - cos(ac\tau/m)) = 0; - z_{d} + \frac{p_{z}}{m} c\tau = 0;$$

6



Fitting Method

Kalman filter

Belle II Global Vertex Fitting — based on the Kalman filter

Recursive estimation of particle states under uncertainties and constraints, with optimal parameters and error matrix output.

$$\chi^{2} = (X_{\alpha} - X_{\alpha-1})^{T} C_{\alpha-1}^{-1} (X_{\alpha} - X_{\alpha-1}) + (m - h(X_{\alpha}))^{T} V_{m}^{-1} (m - h(X_{\alpha})) = min$$
1: Covariance Matrix of Parameters) (V⁻¹: Measurement covariance matrix) (m - h(X_{\alpha}): Residual)
Matrix inversion is limited to the constraint dimension, simplify calculation

 $(C_{\alpha-1}^{-1})$

> State vector solution

performance

Summary

7

$$\frac{d\chi^2}{dX} = 0$$

L

Solution of the state vector \bullet

$$X_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha-1} - K_{\alpha}r_{\alpha}$$

$$(K_{\alpha} = C_{\alpha-1}HR_{\alpha}^{-1} - \text{Kalman gain matrix})$$
$$(r_{\alpha} = m - h(X_{\alpha}) - \text{Residual})$$
$$(R_{\alpha} = V + H_{\alpha}^{\alpha-1}C_{\alpha-1}(H_{\alpha}^{\alpha-1})^{T} - \text{residual covariance matrix})$$



Fitting Method

performance

Summary

Kalman filter

Global Vertex Fitting based on Kalman Filter

• Global state vector: includes all particles' information

Improve fitting performance degraded by poorly measured particles

Intermediate pa

• Decay Vertex:

Global vertex fitting uses a geometric method to compute the initial decay vertex:

- If two or more charged tracks exist, the two with highest \bullet momentum are selected, and their point of closest approach in 3D space is calculated as the initial decay vertex.
- This vertex is further refined during fitting with additional constraints. ullet

• decay length θ

The decay length is extracted using a vector triangle based on the decay geometry.

Final-state particle: (p_x, p_y, p_z)

article
Resonance:
$$(p_x, p_y, p_z, E)$$

Long-lived: $(x, y, z, \theta, p_x, p_y, p_z, E)$







Berformance part three

Fitting Method

rformance

Summary

VertexFit - SecondVerexFit

Evaluate Vertex Fitting Performance using charged track information from different spatial locations

 $I/\psi \to \Lambda \overline{\Lambda} \to (p\pi^{-})(\overline{p}\pi^{+})$

- First : Reconstructed position of the first hit of the charged track; material effects before this point are not considered.
- Poca: The point where the charged track is extrapolated to be closest to a reference point in the xy plane, with material effects during propagation taken into account.

\succ Residual of Reconstructed Momentum of Λ

Poca: Taking more material effects First: Taking less material effects

Fitting Method

rformance

Summary

VertexFit - SecondVerexFit

Evaluate Vertex Fitting Performance using charged track information from different spatial locations

 $J/\psi \to \Lambda \overline{\Lambda} \to (p\pi^{-})(\overline{p}\pi^{+})$

Figure. Invariant Mass Residuala vs A Decay Vertex in xy Plane

Fitting Method

Summary

VertexFit - SecondVerexFit

Evaluate Vertex Fitting Performance using charged track information from different spatial locations

 $J/\psi \to A\overline{A} \to (p\pi^{-})(\overline{p}\pi^{+})$

Fitting Method

rformance

Summary

VertexFit - SecondVerexFit

Evaluate Vertex Fitting Performance using charged track information from different spatial locations

$$J/\psi\to A\overline{A}\to (p\pi^-)(\overline{p}\pi^+)$$

The differences in the reconstructed helix parameters d_{ρ} and d_{z} are relatively small

Figure. DecayLength Residuala vs A Decay Vertex in xy Plane

Material effects primarily affect the reconstructed momentum of the particle!

> Evaluate Global Vertex Fitting performance based on different physics processes

 \succ

Using the First hit informaion

 \succ Invariant Mass Spectrum of Λ

Invariant Mass Residuala vs A Decay Vertex in xy

13

GlobalVertexFit

> Evaluate Global Vertex Fitting performance based on different physics processes

Err of DecayLength

 $J/\psi \to \Lambda \overline{\Lambda} \to (p\pi^{-})(\overline{p}\pi^{+})$

Using the First hit informaion

DecayLength

DecayL Residuala vs A Decay Vertex in xy

Std Dev: 0.16061 Std Dev: 0.09938

GlobalVertexFit

Evaluate Global Vertex Fitting performance based on different physics processes

 $J/\psi\to \varSigma^+(Pr)\overline{\varSigma}(\pi_0(rr)\overline{P})$

Using the First hit informaion

 \succ Invariant Mass Spectrum of Σ^+

▷ DecayLength of Σ^+

≻DecayLerr of $Σ^+$

GlobalVertexFit

> Evaluate Global Vertex Fitting performance based on different physics processes

 $J/\psi \to \Sigma^+(Pr)\overline{\Sigma}(\pi_0(rr)\overline{P})$

Using the First hit informaion

 \succ Decay Length Resolution of Σ^+

Global vertex fitting shows good performance on STCF and addresses the limitations of the VertexFit

\blacktriangleright Decay Length Resolution of $\overline{\Sigma}$

Summary

part four

Fitting Method

Performance

Summary

Summary

Summary Summary

- This report introduces two vertex reconstruction algorithms on STCF: the Lagrange \bullet multiplier-based VertexFit and SecondVertexFit algorithms, and the Kalman filter-based GlobalVertexFit algorithm.
- In this study, we performed vertex fitting using both the Poca point and the First point, and ulletcompared their performance. The material effects were found to mainly impact the reconstructed momentum.
- Based on these tests, we also compared the performance of the GlobalVertexFit and VertexFit \bullet algorithms. Results show that GlobalVertexFit works well on STCF and provides better handling of neutral particles compared to VertexFit.

研究背景与意义

backup

➢lam: The fraction of particles decaying within each detector layer

[Θ,	30)	1969893	I	40.
Ι	30,	36)	286961	I	5.
Ι	36,	98)	1744768	I	36.3
[98,	160)	599260		12.4
Γ	160,	200)	125439	I	2.
Γ	200,	850)	93173	1	1.

研究进展

研究方法

总结与展望

backup

backup

> Global Vertex Fit Strategy for Neutral Particles $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_x, p_y, p_z, x, y, z, \theta, p_x, p_y, p_z, E)$ Σ^+ p γ

• Constraint Application Order (1)track

Update the momentum and vertex position of charged particles based on reconstructed track information

(2)photo

Update the photon momentum using the vertex information refined by the track update.

> Difference of Helix Parameters(First - Poca) d, Difference (First - POCA) hDiff_0

0.005

First - Poca - Difference

backup

. . . .

研究背景与意义

研究方法

研究进展

总结与展望

backup